

Metaplectic modular categories and the associated TQFT

Yilong Wang

The Ohio State University

wang.3003@osu.edu

Nov 17, 2016

Overview

- 1 MTC, TQFT and MCG rep: general construction
 - Modular tensor categories: definition and conventions
 - TQFT and the spaces V_g
 - The mapping class group representation ρ_g
- 2 Metaplectic modular categories
 - Definition of MMC
 - Example: $SO(m)_2$
- 3 Computation of the representation
 - Method: Graphical Calculus
 - Result
- 4 Properties of the representation
 - Eigenvalues
 - Integrality
 - Finiteness

Modular tensor categories: definition and conventions

Modular tensor category (MTC):

Modular tensor categories: definition and conventions

Modular tensor category (MTC): Abelian, \mathbb{C} -linear, semisimple (finitely many isomorphism classes of simple objects), monoidal (unit being simple), braided, pivotal (compatible with braiding), S -matrix invertible.

Modular tensor categories: definition and conventions

Modular tensor category (MTC): Abelian, \mathbb{C} -linear, semisimple (finitely many isomorphism classes of simple objects), monoidal (unit being simple), braided, pivotal (compatible with braiding), S -matrix invertible.

Notations:

\mathcal{I} = the set of representatives of isomorphism classes (with a special object $\underline{1}$ representing the unit),

d_i = quantum dimension of the object $i \in \mathcal{I}$,

$$D^2 = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} d_i^2.$$

Modular tensor categories: definition and conventions

The S -matrix is defined to be an $|\mathcal{I}| \times |\mathcal{I}|$ -complex matrix whose (i, j) -th entry is given by:

$$S_{i,j} = \frac{1}{D} \text{ i } \left(\text{diagram of two overlapping circles} \right) \text{ j } , \quad i, j \in \mathcal{I}. \quad (1)$$

TQFT and the spaces V_g

3-dimensional TQFT: a tensor functor $\mathcal{V} : \text{Cob}^3 \rightarrow \text{Vec}_{\mathbb{C}}$. Given any MTC, there exists a TQFT.

TQFT and the spaces V_g

3-dimensional TQFT: a tensor functor $\mathcal{V} : \text{Cob}^3 \rightarrow \text{Vec}_{\mathbb{C}}$. Given any MTC, there exists a TQFT. In particular, to each oriented closed surface Σ_g of genus g , we denote the associated vector space by V_g .

TQFT and the spaces V_g

3-dimensional TQFT: a tensor functor $\mathcal{V} : \text{Cob}^3 \rightarrow \text{Vec}_{\mathbb{C}}$. Given any MTC, there exists a TQFT. In particular, to each oriented closed surface Σ_g of genus g , we denote the associated vector space by V_g .

$\forall \vec{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_g) \in \mathcal{I}^g$, let

$$V_g^{\vec{i}} := \text{Hom}(\mathbf{1}, i_1 \otimes i_1^* \otimes \cdots \otimes i_g \otimes i_g^*), \quad (2)$$

TQFT and the spaces V_g

3-dimensional TQFT: a tensor functor $\mathcal{V} : \text{Cob}^3 \rightarrow \text{Vec}_{\mathbb{C}}$. Given any MTC, there exists a TQFT. In particular, to each oriented closed surface Σ_g of genus g , we denote the associated vector space by V_g .

$\forall \vec{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_g) \in \mathcal{I}^g$, let

$$V_g^{\vec{i}} := \text{Hom}(\mathbf{1}, i_1 \otimes i_1^* \otimes \cdots \otimes i_g \otimes i_g^*), \quad (2)$$

then V_g is given by

$$V_g := \bigoplus_{\vec{i} \in \mathcal{I}^g} V_g^{\vec{i}} \quad (3)$$

summing over all possible g -tuples of simple objects.

TQFT and the spaces V_g

Assume $\forall i, j, k \in \mathcal{I}$ admissible, $\text{Hom}(i, j \otimes k) \cong \mathbb{C}$. For each such Hom-set, we choose a generator and represent it by a graph:

$$\begin{array}{c} j \quad \quad k \\ \quad \backslash \quad / \\ \quad \quad \cdot \\ \quad / \quad \backslash \\ \quad i \end{array} \quad . \quad (4)$$

TQFT and the spaces V_g

Assume $\forall i, j, k \in \mathcal{I}$ admissible, $\text{Hom}(i, j \otimes k) \cong \mathbb{C}$. For each such Hom-set, we choose a generator and represent it by a graph:

$$\begin{array}{c} j \quad \quad k \\ \quad \backslash \quad / \\ \quad \quad Y \\ \quad / \quad \backslash \\ i \end{array} \cdot \quad (4)$$

Then by definition, for $\vec{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_g)$, the space $V_g^{\vec{i}}$ is spanned by the tree basis vectors

TQFT and the spaces V_g

The diagram shows an equality between two configurations of objects. On the left, there are $2g$ vertical lines representing objects $i_1, i_1^*, i_2, i_2^*, \dots, i_g, i_g^*$. The bottom of these lines are labeled $a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_{2g-1}$. On the right, these objects are fused into a tree structure. The bottom-most vertex is labeled a_1 . From this vertex, lines branch upwards to the right, labeled $a_2, a_3, \dots, a_{2g-1}$. The top of these branches are labeled with the objects $i_1, i_1^*, i_2, i_2^*, \dots, i_g, i_g^*$. The entire equation is labeled (5).

where $\forall k \in \{1, \dots, 2g - 1\}, a_k \in \mathcal{I}$, and a_k can be obtained by fusing the vertical i -object on its right hand side and a_{k+1} .

The mapping class group representation ρ_g

Let Γ_g be the mapping class group of Σ_g . By definition, given an MTC, the associated TQFT provides projective representation of Γ_g on the space V_g :

The mapping class group representation ρ_g

Let Γ_g be the mapping class group of Σ_g . By definition, given an MTC, the associated TQFT provides projective representation of Γ_g on the space V_g :

$$\rho_g : \Gamma_g \rightarrow \text{End}(V_g). \quad (6)$$

The mapping class group representation ρ_g

Let Γ_g be the mapping class group of Σ_g . By definition, given an MTC, the associated TQFT provides projective representation of Γ_g on the space V_g :

$$\rho_g : \Gamma_g \rightarrow \text{End}(V_g). \quad (6)$$

More explicitly, given a homeomorphism f in Γ_g , the matrix entry $\rho_g(f)_{T, T'}$ corresponding to the tree basis vectors $T \in V_g^{\vec{i}}$ and $T' \in V_g^{\vec{j}}$ can be computed as follows:

- find a tangle presentation of f , denoted by $Tgl(f)$ (via surgery theory);

The mapping class group representation ρ_g

Let Γ_g be the mapping class group of Σ_g . By definition, given an MTC, the associated TQFT provides projective representation of Γ_g on the space V_g :

$$\rho_g : \Gamma_g \rightarrow \text{End}(V_g). \quad (6)$$

More explicitly, given a homeomorphism f in Γ_g , the matrix entry $\rho_g(f)_{T, T'}$ corresponding to the tree basis vectors $T \in V_g^{\vec{i}}$ and $T' \in V_g^{\vec{i}'}$ can be computed as follows:

- find a tangle presentation of f , denoted by $Tgl(f)$ (via surgery theory);
- extend the coloring of T to the bottom strands of $Tgl(f)$, and T' to the top strands of $Tgl(f)$;

The mapping class group representation ρ_g

- for each coloring λ of the internal components of $Tgl(f)$, we get a morphism $Tgl(f)_\lambda$ in the MTC, let d_λ be the product of the quantum dimensions of the colorings in λ ;

The mapping class group representation ρ_g

- for each coloring λ of the internal components of $Tgl(f)$, we get a morphism $Tgl(f)_\lambda$ in the MTC, let d_λ be the product of the quantum dimensions of the colorings in λ ;
- Finally, evaluate the following diagram using graphical calculus, we get the desired matrix entry:

$$\rho_g(f)_{T, T'} = \mathcal{N} \sum_{\lambda} d_{\lambda} \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{--- } \underline{1} \text{ ---} \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \dots \\ \boxed{Tgl(f)_\lambda} \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \dots \\ \text{--- } \underline{1} \text{ ---} \end{array} \right) \sim \left(\begin{array}{c} \underline{1} \\ \uparrow T' \\ \text{---} \\ \uparrow T \\ \underline{1} \end{array} \right) \in \text{Hom}(\underline{1}, \underline{1}) = \mathbb{C}.$$

(7)

The mapping class group representation ρ_g

Let A_p, B_p, C_p be the right-handed Dehn twists along the p -th α -, β - and waist curves, the maps $\{T_p, S_p\}_{p=1, \dots, g} \cup \{D_q\}_{q=1, \dots, g-1}$ generate Γ_g , where

The mapping class group representation ρ_g

Let A_p, B_p, C_p be the right-handed Dehn twists along the p -th α -, β - and waist curves, the maps $\{T_p, S_p\}_{p=1, \dots, g} \cup \{D_q\}_{q=1, \dots, g-1}$ generate Γ_g , where

$$T_p := A_p, \quad S_p := A_p B_p A_p, \quad D_q := A_q^{-1} A_{q+1}^{-1} C_q. \quad (8)$$

The mapping class group representation ρ_g

Let A_p, B_p, C_p be the right-handed Dehn twists along the p -th α -, β - and waist curves, the maps $\{T_p, S_p\}_{p=1, \dots, g} \cup \{D_q\}_{q=1, \dots, g-1}$ generate Γ_g , where

$$T_p := A_p, \quad S_p := A_p B_p A_p, \quad D_q := A_q^{-1} A_{q+1}^{-1} C_q. \quad (8)$$

To compute ρ_g , it suffices to compute ρ_g on the above generators.

The mapping class group representation ρ_g

Let A_p, B_p, C_p be the right-handed Dehn twists along the p -th α -, β - and waist curves, the maps $\{T_p, S_p\}_{p=1, \dots, g} \cup \{D_q\}_{q=1, \dots, g-1}$ generate Γ_g , where

$$T_p := A_p, \quad S_p := A_p B_p A_p, \quad D_q := A_q^{-1} A_{q+1}^{-1} C_q. \quad (8)$$

To compute ρ_g , it suffices to compute ρ_g on the above generators. The tangle presentations of the generators are given as follows (by definition, we just have to look locally at the p -th or $(p+1)$ -th position):

The mapping class group representation ρ_g

$$T_p = \text{diagram with two strands: a straight vertical strand labeled } i_p \text{ and a strand labeled } i_p^* \text{ that loops around itself,} \quad (9)$$

The mapping class group representation ρ_g

$$T_p = \text{diagram}, \quad (9)$$

$$S_p = \sum_j \frac{d_j}{D} \text{diagram}, \quad (10)$$

The mapping class group representation ρ_g

and

$$D_p = \left(\begin{array}{c} | \quad | \quad | \\ | \quad \text{X} \quad | \\ | \quad | \quad | \\ \hline i_p \quad i_p^* \quad i_{p+1} \quad i_{p+1}^* \end{array} \right) \cdot \quad (11)$$

Definition of MMC

Definition. A *metaplectic modular category* of rank $(r + 4)$ is a unitary modular category with $\mathcal{I} = \{\underline{1}, Z, Y_j, 1 \leq j \leq r, X, X'\}$ and the following fusion rules: let $m = 2r + 1$,

Definition of MMC

Definition. A *metaplectic modular category* of rank $(r + 4)$ is a unitary modular category with $\mathcal{I} = \{\underline{1}, Z, Y_j, 1 \leq j \leq r, X, X'\}$ and the following fusion rules: let $m = 2r + 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 X \otimes X &\cong \underline{1} \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^r Y_j \\
 X \otimes Y_j &\cong X \oplus X', \quad 1 \leq j \leq r \\
 X \otimes X' &\cong \underline{1} \oplus Z \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^r Y_j \\
 Z \otimes X &\cong X' \\
 Z \otimes Z &\cong \underline{1} \\
 Z \otimes Y_j &\cong Y_j, \quad 1 \leq j \leq r \\
 Y_j \otimes Y_j &\cong \underline{1} \oplus Z \oplus Y_{\min\{2j, m-2j\}}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq r \\
 Y_i \otimes Y_j &\cong Y_{|i-j|} \oplus Y_{\min\{i+j, m-i-j\}}, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq r, i \neq j.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{12}$$

Example: $SO(m)_2$

Let $m = 2r + 1$, and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(m)$, the representation theory of the quantum group $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ at $q = e^{\pi i/2m}$ gives rise to an MMC with the following S -matrix:

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2\sqrt{m}} & \frac{1}{2\sqrt{m}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2\sqrt{m}} & \frac{1}{2\sqrt{m}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} & H & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix} \quad (13)$$

where H is understood as an $r \times r$ -matrix with entries $H_{i,j} = 2 \cos(2\pi ij/m)/\sqrt{m}$. We will call them the $SO(m)_2$ -theory.

Example: $SO(m)_2$

Goal: calculate ρ_g for $SO(m)_2$ and discover interesting properties.
Mainly focus on $SO(5)_2$. Below is some data of the theory.

Example: $SO(m)_2$

Goal: calculate ρ_g for $SO(m)_2$ and discover interesting properties.
Mainly focus on $SO(5)_2$. Below is some data of the theory.
Some topological twists:

$$\theta_Z = 1, \theta_X = e^{\pi i/4}, \theta_{Y_j} = e^{\frac{\pi i j(m-j)}{m}}, 1 \leq j \leq r; \quad (14)$$

Example: $SO(m)_2$

Goal: calculate ρ_g for $SO(m)_2$ and discover interesting properties.
 Mainly focus on $SO(5)_2$. Below is some data of the theory.
 Some topological twists:

$$\theta_Z = 1, \theta_X = e^{\pi i/4}, \theta_{Y_j} = e^{\frac{\pi i j(m-j)}{m}}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq r; \quad (14)$$

some braidings (R -matrices):

$$R_{\underline{1}}^{Y_1, Y_1} = e^{\frac{\pi i(m-1)}{m}}, \quad R_Z^{Y_1, Y_1} = e^{\frac{-\pi i}{m}}, \quad (15)$$

an example of F -matrix:

$$F_X^{Y_1 Y_1 X} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} \quad (16)$$

Method: Graphical Calculus

By the guideline provided before, we need rules to perform graphical calculus, some of which are listed here, the colorings are all simple objects:

$$\begin{array}{c}
 c' \\
 | \\
 \diagup \quad \diagdown \\
 a \quad \quad b \\
 \diagdown \quad \diagup \\
 | \\
 c
 \end{array}
 = \frac{\theta(a, b, c)}{d_c} \delta_{c, c'} \Bigg|_c, \quad (17)$$

where $\delta_{c, c'}$ is the Kronecker delta function, and
 $\theta(a, b, c) = \sqrt{d_a d_b d_c}$.

Method: Graphical Calculus

$$\begin{array}{c} j & i \\ \diagdown & / \\ & \times \\ / & \diagdown \\ i & j \end{array} = \sum_k \frac{d_k R_k^{ji}}{\theta(i, j, k)} \begin{array}{c} j & i \\ \diagdown & / \\ & | \\ / & \diagdown \\ i & j \end{array} \cdot \quad (18)$$

where R is the R -matrix.

Method: Graphical Calculus

$$\begin{array}{c} j \\ \curvearrowright \\ i \end{array} \begin{array}{c} i \\ \curvearrowleft \\ j \end{array} = \sum_k \frac{d_k R_k^{ji}}{\theta(i, j, k)} \begin{array}{c} j \\ \diagdown \\ \text{---} \\ \diagup \\ i \end{array} \begin{array}{c} i \\ \diagdown \\ \text{---} \\ \diagup \\ j \end{array} . \quad (18)$$

where R is the R -matrix.

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \curvearrowright \\ i \end{array} = \theta_i \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ | \\ i \end{array} , \quad (19)$$

Result

Theorem (W.)

Applying graphical calculus and the given data of $SO(5)_2$ -theory, we completely determined ρ_g for every genus g .

Result

Theorem (W.)

Applying graphical calculus and the given data of $SO(5)_2$ -theory, we completely determined ρ_g for every genus g .

However, as the dimensions of V_g are very large, it is impossible to present all the results here. Therefore, we give the result for $g = 1$ and some observations and partial results on higher genus.

Result

The screenshot displays a software window titled "SopMat (6, 6)" containing a grid of mathematical expressions. The expressions are arranged in a grid that is 10 rows by 6 columns. Each cell in the grid contains a complex mathematical expression involving square roots, fractions, and powers of (-1) . The expressions are symmetric and represent the entries of the S-matrix for a modular tensor category. The interface includes a menu bar at the top with options: File, Edit, Insert, Format, Cell, Graphics, Evaluation, Palettes, Window, Help. At the bottom right, there is a zoom level indicator set to 100%.

Result

Example. Note that when $g = 1$, Γ_g is generated by two elements S_1 and T_1 , and that associated to the $SO(5)_2$ -theory, $\dim V_1 = 6$. The representation $\rho_1 : \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \text{End}(V_1)$ associated to the $SO(5)_2$ -theory is given by:

Result

Example. Note that when $g = 1$, Γ_g is generated by two elements S_1 and T_1 , and that associated to the $SO(5)_2$ -theory, $\dim V_1 = 6$. The representation $\rho_1 : \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \text{End}(V_1)$ associated to the $SO(5)_2$ -theory is given by:

$$\rho_1(S_1) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2\sqrt{5}} & \frac{1}{2\sqrt{5}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2\sqrt{5}} & \frac{1}{2\sqrt{5}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} & \frac{-1+\sqrt{5}}{2\sqrt{5}} & \frac{-1-\sqrt{5}}{2\sqrt{5}} & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} & \frac{-1-\sqrt{5}}{2\sqrt{5}} & \frac{-1+\sqrt{5}}{2\sqrt{5}} & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (20)$$

and

$$\rho_1(T_1) = \text{diag}(1, 1, e^{-\frac{4\pi i}{5}}, e^{\frac{4\pi i}{5}}, -i, i). \quad (21)$$

Result

In higher genus cases, a key observation is that the generators S, T, D only act locally. More precisely, S_l and T_l fixes subspaces of $V_g^{\vec{l}} \subset V_g$ in the form of

Result

In higher genus cases, a key observation is that the generators S, T, D only act locally. More precisely, S_l and T_l fixes subspaces of $V_g^{\vec{l}} \subset V_g$ in the form of

$$U_{a,b}^{\vec{l},l} := \text{span} \left\{ a \begin{array}{c} i_l \qquad i_l \\ | \qquad | \\ \hline e \\ | \qquad | \\ b \end{array} : e \text{ admissible} \right\}, \quad (22)$$

and D_l preserves subspaces in the form of

$$W_{a,b,p,r}^{\vec{l},l} := \text{span} \left\{ a \begin{array}{c} i_l \quad i_l \quad i_{l+1} \quad i_{l+1} \\ | \quad | \quad | \quad | \\ \hline p \quad q \quad r \\ | \quad | \quad | \quad | \\ b \end{array} : q \text{ admissible} \right\}. \quad (23)$$

Result

Note that fixing the super- and subscripts of U and W , there may be several configurations on the other edges, yielding more than one subspaces. But the actions are identical. So we just have to fix one.

Result

Note that fixing the super- and subscripts of U and W , there may be several configurations on the other edges, yielding more than one subspaces. But the actions are identical. So we just have to fix one.

Hence it suffices to determine the actions of the generators of Γ_g on these subspaces and then write out the representation in diagonal block matrix form.

Result

Note that fixing the super- and subscripts of U and W , there may be several configurations on the other edges, yielding more than one subspaces. But the actions are identical. So we just have to fix one.

Hence it suffices to determine the actions of the generators of Γ_g on these subspaces and then write out the representation in diagonal block matrix form.

Here we give an example of the action of D_2 on one of the subspaces $W = W_{Z,Z,Y_1,Y_2}^{(Z,Y_1,Y_2,Z),2}$ of V_4 :

$$\rho_4(D_2)|_W = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}e^{\pi i/5}(-1 + e^{3\pi i/5}) & -\frac{1}{2}e^{\pi i/5}(1 + e^{3\pi i/5}) \\ -\frac{1}{2}e^{\pi i/5}(1 + e^{3\pi i/5}) & \frac{1}{2}e^{\pi i/5}(-1 + e^{3\pi i/5}) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (24)$$

Eigenvalues

A direct computation confirms a more general argument on the eigenvalues of ρ_g associated to classical quantum groups at roots of unity (although I haven't seen it written down explicitly in the literature):

Eigenvalues

A direct computation confirms a more general argument on the eigenvalues of ρ_g associated to classical quantum groups at roots of unity (although I haven't seen it written down explicitly in the literature):

Corollary (W.)

The eigenvalues of $\rho_g(S_p), \rho_g(T_p), \rho_g(D_p)$ associated to $SO(5)_2$ are 20-th roots of unity for all p .

Integrality

It is long known that for modular categories, TQFT constructions can be made over a cyclotomic field $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta) \subset \mathbb{C}$ for some roots of unity ζ .

Integrality

It is long known that for modular categories, TQFT constructions can be made over a cyclotomic field $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta) \subset \mathbb{C}$ for some roots of unity ζ . Furthermore, Gilmer-Masbaum-van Wamelen proved that the $SO(3)$ -TQFT (different from the SO in our case) can be defined over $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta, i]$.

Integrality

It is long known that for modular categories, TQFT constructions can be made over a cyclotomic field $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta) \subset \mathbb{C}$ for some roots of unity ζ . Furthermore, Gilmer-Masbaum-van Wamelen proved that the $SO(3)$ -TQFT (different from the SO in our case) can be defined over $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta, i]$.

Question: can we define our $SO(m)_2$ -TQFT over some ring of cyclotomic integers? Or, can we at least make some changes of bases so that image of ρ_g is over cyclotomic integers?

Integrality

It is long known that for modular categories, TQFT constructions can be made over a cyclotomic field $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta) \subset \mathbb{C}$ for some roots of unity ζ . Furthermore, Gilmer-Masbaum-van Wamelen proved that the $SO(3)$ -TQFT (different from the SO in our case) can be defined over $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta, i]$.

Question: can we define our $SO(m)_2$ -TQFT over some ring of cyclotomic integers? Or, can we at least make some changes of bases so that image of ρ_g is over cyclotomic integers?

At first, we found out by direct computation that:

Integrality

It is long known that for modular categories, TQFT constructions can be made over a cyclotomic field $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta) \subset \mathbb{C}$ for some roots of unity ζ . Furthermore, Gilmer-Masbaum-van Wamelen proved that the $SO(3)$ -TQFT (different from the SO in our case) can be defined over $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta, i]$.

Question: can we define our $SO(m)_2$ -TQFT over some ring of cyclotomic integers? Or, can we at least make some changes of bases so that image of ρ_g is over cyclotomic integers?

At first, we found out by direct computation that:

Theorem (Kerler, W.)

Under a change of basis, the images of $\rho_1(S_1)$ and $\rho_1(T_1)$ has entries in $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$ where $\zeta = e^{\frac{\pi i}{5}}$ is a 10-th root of unity.

Integrality

Later, some more examples are examined using a more systematic treatment (the change of bases matrices are Vandermonde matrices):

Integrality

Later, some more examples are examined using a more systematic treatment (the change of bases matrices are Vandermonde matrices):

Theorem (W.)

For $m = 7, 11, 19$, ρ_1 associated to $SO(m)_2$ can be defined over $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_m, i]$, and for $m = 13, 17$, the corresponding ρ_1 can be defined over $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_m]$, where $\zeta_m = e^{2\pi i/m}$.

Integrality

Later, some more examples are examined using a more systematic treatment (the change of bases matrices are Vandermonde matrices):

Theorem (W.)

For $m = 7, 11, 19$, ρ_1 associated to $SO(m)_2$ can be defined over $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_m, i]$, and for $m = 13, 17$, the corresponding ρ_1 can be defined over $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_m]$, where $\zeta_m = e^{2\pi i/m}$.

And we are optimistic to propose the following conjecture:

Integrality

Conjecture (W.)

Let m be an odd prime. The ρ_1 associated to $\mathrm{SO}(m)_2$ can be defined over \mathcal{O} , where

$$\mathcal{O} = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_m, i], & \text{if } m \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \\ \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_m], & \text{if } m \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \end{cases}. \quad (25)$$

Finiteness

Another interesting aspect of ρ_g is the finiteness of its image.

Finiteness

Another interesting aspect of ρ_g is the finiteness of its image. It is shown by Ng-Schauenburg that for any modular category, ρ_1 has finite image, and it is shown by Funar that for $g \geq 2$, ρ_g associated to the $SU(2)$ -TQFT has infinite image, in particular, there is an infinite order element coming from the braid group representation.

Finiteness

Another interesting aspect of ρ_g is the finiteness of its image. It is shown by Ng-Schauenburg that for any modular category, ρ_1 has finite image, and it is shown by Funar that for $g \geq 2$, ρ_g associated to the $SU(2)$ -TQFT has infinite image, in particular, there is an infinite order element coming from the braid group representation. Interestingly enough, it is shown by Rowell-Wenzl that the braid group representation associated to $SO(m)_2$ has finite image, does it make ρ_g finite for $g \geq 2$?

Finiteness

Another interesting aspect of ρ_g is the finiteness of its image. It is shown by Ng-Schauenburg that for any modular category, ρ_1 has finite image, and it is shown by Funar that for $g \geq 2$, ρ_g associated to the $SU(2)$ -TQFT has infinite image, in particular, there is an infinite order element coming from the braid group representation. Interestingly enough, it is shown by Rowell-Wenzl that the braid group representation associated to $SO(m)_2$ has finite image, does it make ρ_g finite for $g \geq 2$?
Even with the computation I made for $SO(5)_2$, I can hardly tell...

Finiteness

Another interesting aspect of ρ_g is the finiteness of its image. It is shown by Ng-Schauenburg that for any modular category, ρ_1 has finite image, and it is shown by Funar that for $g \geq 2$, ρ_g associated to the $SU(2)$ -TQFT has infinite image, in particular, there is an infinite order element coming from the braid group representation. Interestingly enough, it is shown by Rowell-Wenzl that the braid group representation associated to $SO(m)_2$ has finite image, does it make ρ_g finite for $g \geq 2$? Even with the computation I made for $SO(5)_2$, I can hardly tell... If you have any suggestions on how to attack this problem, we can work together!

Thank You!